VEGETARIAN ISSUES: THOROUGHLY DEALT WITH THIS 1996 ELECTION

GOVERNMENT HANDOUTS that prop up FOOD ANIMAL INDUSTRIES — a ready-made PIPELINE of TAX-PAYER money—without which MEGA-KILLING corporate confinement systems could not have become the PERVERSION of nature they are today

Both Democrats and Republicans have favored food animal industries with huge subsidies. Following are just a few multi-million dollar programs of the USDA—programs that some are now calling “aid to dependent corporations”:

- The Export Credit Program
- The Export Enhancement Program
- The Market Promotion Program
- The Animal Welfare Act
- The Agriculture Marketing Service Program
- Food Safety and Inspection Service
- The Federal Agriculture Improvement Act

CORPORATE WELFARE: WHAT YOU’RE NOT GOING TO HEAR ABOUT THIS ELECTION

BY PAMELA RICE

Big Dollars, No Sense

In a three-part series that began July 7, the Boston Globe revealed, “Every year, an estimated $150 billion—in the form of direct federal subsidies and tax breaks that specifically benefit businesses—is funneled to American companies. Critics call it ‘corporate welfare’.” The series noted that this $150 billion eclipses the annual budget deficit of $130 billion and is more than the $145 billion paid out annually for the core programs of the social welfare state. [Of course, these figures are dwarfed by America’s yearly military budget that runs taxpayers roughly $260 billion—but this is not our focus now.]

Grants and subsidies are not all that industry is afforded. Industry in the United States is also largely exempt from its fair share of the tax burden.

An amazing statistic, also revealed by the Globe series, just about tells it all:

See DIARY on Page 2

See VOTING on Page 6
DIARY: VivaVegie plays off floating pigs and McHype

Continued from Page 1

done by a vegetarian.” (Refer to p. 375 of John Robbins’ book, Diet for a New America for an explanation.) Other signs we displayed read: “If you care about the environment, put your veggie where your mouth is” and “Vegetarianism is an environmental issue. Nearly a quarter of the reasons in 101 Reasons Why I’m a Vegetarian concern the environment (ask me which ones).” One man actually had me mark his copy of 101 Reasons!

Veal Ban Day

Mother’s Day is Veal Ban Day. As in years past, we brought out our veal crate, made to spec, for maximum impact. Satya magazine editor Martin Rowe and I set up a table in SoHo. Lots of folks picked up literature.

On meat street

Street fairs, though they lack any particular VivaVegie theme, are perhaps one of the most effective mediums for VivaVegie advocacy. We didn’t do enough of them this year. The scale of a Manhattan street fair in particular, in terms of hugeness, is simply off the map. They just don’t grow street fairs this big anywhere else. Rivers, and rivers, of people is what you’ll find. And when you have numbers like these, you can distribute a lot of information, fast. We (including Dean Milan and myself) had an especially good response at the 9th Avenue street fair, despite the fact that it tends to be heavy with meat vendors—being that it runs right through Manhattan’s meat district. A more than usual number of people, it seems, were stepping up to “ask me why I’m a vegetarian.” For all the dearth of discussion in the mainstream about vegetarianism, the hoi polloi really seems to want to know this stuff. (Getting so many people informed on this, my favorite subject, indeed gives me a tremendous amount of pleasure...)

Farm animals floating

You’ve heard of shock jocks on radio. Up-and-coming British artist Damian Hirst could be called a shock artist. His exhibit at Gagosian Gallery in SoHo included carcasses of farm animals floating in formaldehyde—including a pig severed in half head to tail and a number of cows in cross-sectional slices.

Oooow! So gross. At least, that was the reaction of many mainstream-media articles heralding the show. Enter VivaVegie, seeing major irony here. We set up a table at the Opening, displaying signs that questioned the contradiction: Why are we grossed out by this art exhibit, but are not equally panged when walking past the meat counter at the supermarket? Though the New York Daily News dubbed The VivaVegie Society a “meat is murder group” (we had mixed feelings there), it printed our point of view and quoted VivaVegie member Joan Zacharias twice. Success!

McSabotage

For the launch of its so-called grown-up burger Arch Deluxe, fast food meister McDonald’s planned a mother of all media events. But it wasn’t a bad media day for VivaVegie, either. Here in the media capital of the world, you can invite the media to your event and nobody may show. Or, you can go where the media people already are, and play off the event at hand—that works a lot better! We played off McDonald’s hoopla this day for our own selfish glory; and hey, it worked!

A little stealth and a little inside information worked to our advantage. First, Joan learned from Ad Age about the burger launch and that McDonald’s planned to spend a whopping $75 million on its one-day media blitz May 9, including booking Radio City Music Hall — Rockets and all. Other extravagant events were planned all over the United States and Canada, including a satellite hookup between New York City, Los Angeles and Toronto.

Next, VivaVegie learned from a business-wire story the exact start-time and physical coordinates of the launch—information McDonald’s did not reveal to the general public.

Joan, Jon Cronnin (who heard about our demo on the Internet), Jon’s friend Quinn, Jean Thaler of Big Apple Vegetarians and I came equipped with McLibel literature, Beyond Beef literature, and the 101 Reasons. Our signs read: “Arch Deluxe. Arch Stupidity. Artery Clogging Hamburger”—with A–R–C–H in a bolder font. A Reuters photographer took a picture of Jon in the foreground with the signboard on, me in the middle with my veggie costume, and Radio City displaying the marquee advertising the McDonald’s event framed perfectly in the background. The photo ran in the Toronto Star—Toronto, again, being one of the satellite hook-up cities. What a coup!

Jon in the “Artery Clogging Hamburger” signboard was also aired on the NBC national evening news for about 2 seconds. Coup No. 2.

Hot dog day afternoon

Every 4th of July, Nathan’s, the Coney Island hot dog vendor, has a hot dog eating contest at the famous Brooklyn amusement park. Every year, about 20 foolhardy contestants gorge themselves with hot dogs over for 12 minutes. This 81st year of the event, the winner bolted down a record 23 hot dogs. Yuk.

Now, if that was all there was to it, VivaVegie would hardly care, but this event is a darling of the media. Last year, VivaVegie was broadcast worldwide over CNN and Headline News; we were also mentioned in the Village Voice. This year, our own media coverage was just about nil, but a lot of people took pictures of our fabulous signs, which read: “Real men (& women) don’t eat weenies,” “Eyeballs and Anuses: it’s what’s for dinner,” and “What is a hot dog?”

The now-famous “What is a hot dog?” flyer, which lists the ingredients in a hot dog—carcasses from old and/or thin, tortured cattle or swine, cheeks, jowls, hearts, tongues, lips, eyelids, gums, intestines, ears, nostrils, tails, snouts, eyeballs, windpipes, anuses, livers, salt, fat, bones, drug and pesticide residues, growth hormones, preservatives, dyes, blood—was distributed to passers-by at the event. Activists at the event included Joan Zacharias, Charles Patterson, Rochelle Goldman, Marion Zinowski, Scott Lustig, David Fishman and myself. David Horn helped with the signs.

The Viva Vine, September 1996

2
“Are pigs for eatin?”
So talk about your oddities — what is “Babe” doing on TV in a McDonald’s commercial for the “Babe Happy Meal”? Many pig watchers were jolted to see a 30-second spot, replete with voices and cuddly snippets from the movie, offering parents of kiddies a stuffed “Babe” barnyard character with every purchase of a hamburger Happy Meal. Buy one “and your kids will love it” and McDonald’s for many years has been trying to cloud over. “I was appalled, and I hope it backfires,” said James Cromwell, whose work as Farmer Hoggett in the film earned him an Oscar nomination. “The reaction of many people to this movie, especially children, was that they were made aware that meat comes from animals, a connection that McDonald’s for many years has been trying to cloud over.”
From the Los Angeles Times

How is SPAM made?
Ingredients: • Chopped pork shoulder meat • A bit of ham added • Salt • Water • Sugar • Sodium Nitrate
The consistency of Spam is not quite flesh-like, being too rubbery for that, and is constructed on the principle of concrete. Coarse chunks of meat are held together by a paste of finely ground meat. Approximately 3/4 of the meat is ground coarsely (through a three-eighths-inch plate of the grinder), the remaining quarter finely (one-eighth-inch plate). Grinding, mixing and curing must be done in a chilled factory (34 degrees Fahrenheit) to minimize bacterial growth. Mix the 2 grades of meat with the salt, sugar, and sodium nitrate in a vacuum mixer set to a 27-inch vacuum, for 5 minutes. Release vacuum and let the mixture cure overnight, maintaining refrigeration. The next day, mix in the vacuum mixer for about 10 minutes again. The chilled meat is then ready for canning.

Advances? Tremendous?
Tremendous advances in immunology now allow humans to use organs from other species with less fear of rejection. Pigs are also being developed with human genes to serve as sources of hearts, lungs, kidneys, and livers.
From the Progressive Farmer Online, “Ag Biotech’s Destiny,” by John Leidner, March, 1996

DIRT ON FARMERS
The veal world
The questionable practices of livestock farmers.
From the Department of Dairy and Animal Science at Penn State University: “Seeking to produce a relatively small and tender animal in as short a time as possible, veal producers typically purchase holstein bull calves at 100 pounds, feed them for 14 to 16 weeks, then sell them at 320 to 370 pounds. Calf hutches where each calf is housed are made from plywood, fiberglass or other solid sheet materials and are roughly 8 feet deep, 4 feet wide and 4 feet high.
“The hutch is a small solid-sided open-front box placed on a well drained area with its closed end toward the prevailing winter winds. Facing each to the south allows the low winter sun to penetrate into the hutch. The open end allows natural ventilation. The hutch has no floor but should be placed on an elevated base of sand, gravel or crushed stone and be well bedded with 6-10 inches of straw or sawdust. Put only one calf in a hutch or pen at a time to maximize calf comfort, minimize the likelihood of disease transmission and maintain good feeding and management procedures. The calf is restrained in the calf hutch by a short chain tether. Each hutch should be provided two pail holders, one for liquids, one for grain.
“Penn State veal researchers have surveyed producers and reviewed literature about individual stall housing—one of the most debated practices in current veal production systems. Nearly all research indicates that individual stalls allow caretakers to recognize sickness and other problems sooner, offer correct amounts of feed and prevent defecation in milk and feed pans. There is always the chance of individual calves not adapting to the type of confinement used in veal production due to individual variability among animals, but these are isolated incidences.”

It's no luxury retreat, though the farmer who invented it proudly promotes it as his Calf Condo

Chicken slaughter: It comes to 21.5 million/day
Far more poultry are slaughtered every year in the United States—almost 8 billion—than all other animals combined. And the numbers are on the rise.

Number slaughtered, in millions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cattle/calves</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>+5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hogs</td>
<td>83.3</td>
<td>76.8</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>93.1</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>+15.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poultry</td>
<td>2,056.6</td>
<td>3,231.2</td>
<td>4,492.9</td>
<td>7,153.7</td>
<td>7,830.5</td>
<td>+280.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep/lanms</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>-71.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,191.3</td>
<td>3,354.2</td>
<td>4,627.0</td>
<td>7,286.6</td>
<td>7,968.5</td>
<td>+263.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: USDA, National Agricultural Statistics Service  Research: Joan Zacharias
This issue is political

I applaud your hard work in publicizing the dreadful activities of the meat industry. It’s great to see people raising awareness about what we eat — surely this issue is a political one that should hit the mainstream.

Martin Hughes
fidel@soton.ac.uk

Economic justice

Regarding your “Project for Economic Justice for Vegetarians,” I agree. Why should we vegetarian taxpayers be forced to subsidize a welfare system for carnivores? The only point I think you should stress a little more is about the tremendous medical costs and financial drain on Medicare and Medicaid from meat-eating that we have to pay for! Shouldn’t vegetarians get a tax deduction? Shouldn’t the government provide such a tax deduction as a way to wean people away from unhealthy diets and in the process save the country billions of dollars (not to mention the lives of its citizens)? After all, according to the preamble of the Constitution, the government is supposed to “promote the general welfare”!

Also, the analogy with smokers and the tobacco industry helps put the issue in perspective since now most people would agree that the government should not use tax dollars to promote, encourage and support smoking. Perhaps vegetarians should sue the government in a class-action suit for unfair taxation, or withhold a percentage of their taxes as promoted by the War Resisters League. You can find them on the World Wide Web at: http://www.netaxes.com/~nvweb/wrl/
Hey, Pamela. Great job on the new 1996 edition of 101 Reasons... Now, every time we go to dinner, we take copies with us. Someone always asks why, you know... So now we just hand 'em the 101. Not bad huh. See ya soon, ya cyber-pea.

Nadine Miral
http://www.earthbase.org

Veggies should not have to beg

Veggies should not have to beg to get a decent meal, nor should we have to practically recite a recipe to the server!

I feel it is important for restaurants to have at least one entrée that needs no alteration to be suitable for vegetarians. I also feel it is important for restaurants to use the word “vegetarian” ON the menu. Most places in my area do neither.

Kim R. Stahler
photo@pro.epix.net

**WASTE NOT, WANT NOT**

**Deja Pu**

Beulaville, NC — A muddy brown plume of chicken waste flowed into the Northeast Cape Fear River on Tuesday as biologists and environmental inspectors scrambled to assess damage from North Carolina’s third agricultural spill in two weeks....

...The accident was not as big as the 25 million gallon spill from a lagoon at Oceanview Farms hog farm near Richlands on June 21 — the state’s worst agricultural spill on record. But in sheer volume, it was approaching the size of the 11 million gallon oil spill from the Exxon Valdez in 1989.

(from: The News and Observer, Raleigh, North Carolina

“Chicken waste hits river” (Boss Hog: Follow-up story)

by staff writers Wade Rawlings and Ben Stocking, July 5, 1995

**Turd World**

Karen Priest grew up in Bladen County, North Carolina. In 1987, her family bought a house about a mile from her parents’ home.

Now her home is surrounded by large hog farms. “I feel like I’m raising my children in a Third World country covered with cesspools,” she told the audience at the North Carolina Hog Summit, a standing-room-only meeting in New Bern, NC this past spring. “Because of the hog odor, it’s been over a year since I’ve opened my windows. My family’s rights have been violated.” Her presentation received a standing ovation.

(from: Progressive Farmer Online http://www.pathfinder.com/PF


---

**So, what is The VivaVegie Society?**

The VivaVegie Society takes vegetarian advocacy to the streets. Vivavegie advocates confront Mr. and Ms. Pedestrian to get the facts out about their healthful, ethical and environmentally conscious vegetarian diet.

Advocates assemble where there is plenty of pedestrian traffic. They come equipped with brightly colored T-shirts with vegetarian messages, and plenty of fact-filled information. Founder Pamela Rice or another activist wears the outfit shown on the front side of this newsletter. Our mission? To distribute, for donation, the flyer, 101 Reasons Why I’m a Vegetarian (the mighty convincer), written by Pamela Rice and inspired by John Robbins’ book, Diet for a New America.

The VivaVegie Society has been, and will be in the future, involved with various projects such as The Project for Economic Justice for Vegetarians, World Vegetarian Day, starting a vegetarian center in New York City, and mass mailings of 101 Reasons Why I’m a Vegetarian.

Donations are appreciated
VOTING: Dole, Clinton are no friends to vegetarians
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all; just after World War II, the nation's tax burden was roughly split [equally] between corporations and individuals. But, according to the US Office of Management and Budget, after years of changes in the federal tax code and international economy, the corporate share of taxes has declined to one quarter of the total amount collected. That's a ratio that went from 1-to-1 fifty years ago to 1-to-3 today!

So, being afforded taxpayers' funds on the one side and being exempt from taxpayer responsibilities on the other has added up to quite a combination for industry in recent history. And this proclivity toward regressive taxation continues. Ergo, when the new Republican majority Congress recently found tens of billions to cut over the next 7 years from social services to balance the budget, the trend to put the tax burden on average citizens was only exacerbated. Only 2% of the cuts were made on subsidies to industry.

Is industry worth all of this support? Drawing upon research that has come in from a wide range of political perspectives—from the libertarian Cato Institute, to the conservative Heritage Foundation, to Ralph Nader's research teams, to the independent Common Cause, among others—the Globe found broad agreement, from the left wing to the right, that tax breaks that benefit specific companies and industries are blatantly unfair and bad for business—a millstone on the back of the nation's economy.

It's been found that corporate subsidies often don't pan out toward yielding jobs, and when they do, the jobs often end up overseas. Correspondingly, recipients of corporate welfare often are not asked to account in any way for the subsidies they receive.

Some of the most generous corporate welfare out there, the Globe series revealed, goes to agriculture, alongside oil and energy. And if readers need a reminder, it should be noted that agriculture in the US, by and large, necessarily means animal agriculture—from grain harvesting to meat packing.

The following are just a few examples of corporate welfare spending out of the USDA of interest to vegetarians:

- In 1994, as part of the ongoing Export Enhancement Program, Cargill, Inc. received $203 million and Continental Grain received $169 million reducing the selling price of their grain overseas to remain competitive with European Union companies, which are also subsidized.
- Over the last 16 years, The Agriculture Department's Export Credit Program has provided $50 billion in US government credit guarantees for loans to foreign companies or governments for agricultural sales (mostly for American feed grains) at a total operating cost to U.S. taxpayers of $5.7 billion.
- The $100 million per year Market Promotion Program provides taxpayer money to private companies and their trade associations for overseas promotional activities, such as advertising and market research.
- In 1993, $125,000 was provided to promote American frozen bovine semen.
- Also in 1993, Tyson Foods received $800,000.
- The mink industry was given $1.9 million in 1994 (this subsidy was recently ended following a successful activist campaign).
- McDonald's has taken nearly $2 million to promote Chicken McNuggets to the Third World.
- Ranchers on public lands, mostly in Western states, enjoy grazing fees at roughly one quarter their market value. The fees do not cover the costs the US Government must pay to maintain the lands. All told, grazing management by the Bureau of Land Management comes to approximately $200 million per year, not including the long range environmental costs—just to benefit a small number of cattlemen.
- The Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act signed by President Clinton on April 4 will give $36.5 billion, mostly to feed-grain farmers, over the next 7 years. (It is discussed in more detail, below.)

That Totally Hidden Subsidy

But the biggest subsidy of all to animal agriculture, is one that is totally hidden, and not even spoken about as such but for a few vegetarian and animal protection groups: that subsidy is the government policy which allows farm animals to be exempt from the Animal Welfare Act.

This mother of all subsidies ultimately does take its toll. While America's animal industry is saved untold billions it would otherwise have to spend providing humane treatment for farm animals, the America that allows this to go on can never completely project an unsullied image to the world, or to itself. This greatest of all subsidies has also allowed the meat and dairy industry to grow. And growth has meant power to counter environmental as well as health concerns put forth by vegetarian and environmental groups.

In the trenches of Washington D.C., animal industries fight on a daily basis the slightest movement toward any legislation for humane conditions for food animals. There's a reason for this. Every square inch of space in the cow's farrowing stall, the veal crate, the battery cage, the milking parlor; every disruption on the poultry processing line; every humanely euthanized cow at the stockyard, potentially costs industry money on its operations. So cruelty remains legal.

The Presidential Candidates

As for Clinton and Dole—where do the candidates stand on corporate welfare? On farm subsidies and tax breaks? On the newly enacted farm bill? Do vegetarians voters have a choice?

Just after the Republicans took
control of Congress in 1994, the candidates did have a chance to offer leadership against corporate waste in government. Clinton’s own labor secretary Robert Reich challenged (even dared) the new legislative potentates to cut corporate welfare, naming it outright.

But neither Clinton nor Dole jumped on the bandwagon. Presidential campaigns cost big money, and it seems you can’t get elected these days without big industry approval; fighting corporate welfare would be political suicide.

Indeed, Clinton and Dole certainly will be talking about welfare reform this year. But no, don’t count on much being said about the industry kind.

Still, big business seems to know which party serves its interests better. Dole is the bigger recipient of agribusiness PAC money, receiving, between the period of January to September of 1995, $568,000 from companies such as Archer Daniels Midland and RJR Nabisco. Clinton scored less with $145 thousand from companies such as Tyson Foods and Phillip Morris.

In late April of this year, according to a New York Times story, Clinton used his executive authority to shore up beef prices by having the US government buy about $50 million in beef. In May we found that this was only the first wave of a number of purchases totaling $163 million worth of beef patties and roasts for the school lunch program. Agriculture Secretary Glickman told the press later in the day, that this first round of beef purchases would not actually do that much to raise prices, but would provide a “psychological boost” to the industry.

On the same day of the $50 million announcement, Clinton also ordered the Agriculture department to open 36 million acres of environmentally sensi-

tive land for grazing. The land is part of those acres that the Government now pays private owners to keep idle for conservation and environmental reasons. Those who take advantage of the option, according to the Times story, would forgo only 5 percent of the annual rental income the Government pays them.

**Ag Issues**

On agriculture issues in particular, as private agriculture consultant John Schnittke puts it, when it comes to a New York Times story, Clinton also ordered the Agriculture department to open 36 million acres of environmentally sensi-
tive land for grazing. The land is part of those acres that the Government now pays private owners to keep idle for conservation and environmental reasons. Those who take advantage of the option, according to the Times story, would forgo only 5 percent of the annual rental income the Government pays them.

**Hi: I’m President Bill Clinton:** I just don’t get it. I eat the standard American diet; I guess, well, you might call it junk food.

I have a history of high cholesterol. I have a precancerous inclusion cyst under my chin and a precancerous skin lesion on my nose. I suffer from heartburn and allergies. How could a vegetarian diet help me?

Clinton or Dole, it’s “tweedledum and tweedledee.” Both Clinton and Dole favor export programs, access to free markets and cuts in capital gains taxes; and they both oppose grain embargoes—all positive standpoints from the viewpoint of agriculture.

A President Clinton or a President Dole, either way, challenging Government payouts to agriculture is not likely to happen, and don’t even think about concern here for animal welfare on the farm.

If you look at the Clinton track record in coddling the farm sector, Clinton is a typical Democrat, not challenging the status quo on wasteful spending. The Democrat’s stance however, tends to appear to be concerned for the necessarily small farmer. “Safety net for the small farmer” is the phrase heard over and over.

Still, President Clinton has gone along with much of the Republican agenda, which, as we noted above, cut the social welfare safety net to a disproportionate degree to the benefit of industry. It’s interesting to ponder the fact that where Bush used his veto power 44 times and Reagan, in 2 terms, 78 (both against Democratic congresses), only 12 pieces of legislation have been rejected by Clinton against his Republican Congress.

On trade issues, the Clinton administra-

istration was a staunch supporter of a free trade policy, pushing through NAFTA and GATT, both big, essential policies for U.S. agricultural growth.

Clinton, along with his appointed Secretary of Agriculture Dan Glickman, have stood strong in working to push European nations to accept hormone-injected beef. Vice President Al Gore worked especially hard earlier this year to get Russia to accept US poultry imports after it threatened to ban them, citing safety concerns.

“Even though Clinton has been supportive of free trade … I think Dole and the Republicans would be even more supportive in trying to knock down the remaining barriers,” said Jeff Conrad, managing director of Hancock Agricultural Investment Group in Boston.

**“Freedom to Farm”**

House majority leader Republican Dick Armey of Texas once compared the House Agriculture Committee’s inner sanctum to Al Capone’s Chicago, divvying up agriculture largess like gangsters. But while the Republican Congress that came in in 1995 had a chance to cut corporate welfare, it found that it was as dependent as Democrats on special interest groups.

Throughout its development over the last 2 years, Bob Dole counted himself a backer of the controversial Farm Bill. Nicknamed “Freedom to Farm” by its Republican writers, this bill was...
reluctantly signed by President Clinton early in April. Touted as a program to wean farmers away from the government dole, ironically it will, because of prevailing high prices for farm goods, cost the taxpayer an estimated $23 billion more than if previous legislation had remained in place—coming to a fixed $35.6 billion over the next 7 years.

Upon close examination, “Freedom to Farm,” now officially named the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act, is nothing more than a general renewal of giant agricultural payouts that serve to benefit the large corporate farmer over the small family farmer. The difference this time is that the rhetoric that put it into law makes it appear as though it were reform legislation. It is, in fact, just the opposite.

According to the Environmental Working Group which conducted a tedious study of the Act when it was still a bill, “‘Freedom to Farm’ doesn’t cut farm subsidies—it increases them. ...For today’s ‘agriwelfare’ recipient, business has never been so good (or so bad for taxpayers).” It’s almost hard to believe, but subsidy payments to farmers under this new set of laws will be made—to those farmers who sign up for the program—regardless of crop prices in the market. In addition, there are absolutely no requirements whatsoever to farm anything at all—a change from the old policy which paid farmers not to farm. You are eligible for the program if you have participated in a farm program in the past 5 years (discriminating against mostly young farmers just getting into the business); and, in general, the bigger your operation, the more you will receive. And then, adding insult to injury, your “farmer” doesn’t even have to reside on a farm to receive the payments.

“‘Obscene.’ That is the word often used by insiders—policymakers, agriculture committee staff, farm journalists, farmers themselves—to describe the subsidy payments offered under ‘Freedom to Farm.’”—so began an article about the farm bill by the Environmental Working Group.

The nickname “Freedom to Farm” came from one of the original intents of the legislation. Where former farm legislation dictated what and how much a farmer could plant, the new Act eases up on some regulations. Still, according to the Environmental Working Group, “Freedom to Farm’ contains nearly as many restrictions ... as [previous] law, the model for which sometimes seems to have been the old Soviet 5-year plans.”

“No Deal” style Democrats in Congress, such as Iowa Senator Tom Harkin, as well as the Clinton administration argued against “Freedom to Farm” on the grounds that farm subsidies would have to be cut too deeply. Now that grain prices have literally doubled over the past year, Clinton must feel pleased that he gave the bill his signature. In a recent speech, he said, “I wish I could promise you that we would have $5.00 corn, $5.50 wheat and $8.00 soybeans [historically high grain prices] forever, but I can’t do that. It is encouraging that a lot of farms are finally able to earn some money, do some improvements that are needed on the farm, save some money for the years that may not be so good, and improve the overall economic position of family farmers around this country.”

It’s interesting, however, to note who the farmers are who are benefiting from the new farm bill. In an editorial a year ago in April, The New York Times criticized Clinton for defending subsidies to farmers who it called “the nation’s richest welfare recipients; full-time farmers typically earn four times as much as non-farm families.”

According to the Environmental Working Group, the top 2 percentile of federal farm subsidy recipients are receiving nearly a full quarter of the agricultural subsidy payments. They also reveal that “many of the top percentile of direct subsidy recipients also receive money indirectly.” First they receive payments made out to them personally; then they receive checks made out to the businesses that they own. In addition, the Environmental Working Group discovered that a good proportion of the taxpayer-sponsored payments to farmers go to absentee farm owners who live in some of the country’s biggest cities, in well-heeled neighborhoods. The new “Freedom to Farm” legislation, they said, when the Act was still a bill, “will give away millions more to these absentee owners than they would under current law.”

Will these city-dwelling farmers receiving checks in the tens of thousands of dollars per year be making improvements on the farm? Don’t count on it.

**Candidate Nader**

What of faux-candidate Ralph Nader?

In his own words, the well-known consumers’ warrior, “…will practice the corrosive impact of special interest money out of politics at the same time that it preaches campaign finance reform. This effort will focus on removing such money from elections, and ending the corporate welfare and other privileges that it buys.” Sounds very positive. And if that wasn’t good enough, consider the fact that, according to a “Nation” interview in July, the Nader camp will not accept any campaign contributions!

Of course, the very things that make Nader so good are the real-world reasons we probably won’t see him in the White House.

---

**STOP RANCHER GIVEAWAYS**

**Rancher giveaways in the offing**

The Public Rangelands Management Act (S.1459) will give new rights to the livestock industry that could make grazing the dominant use of our public lands. Overgrazing will lead to widespread destruction of forests and grasslands, wildlife and their habitats. In addition, the bill will rob taxpayers of millions of dollars every year in subsidies to already wealthy ranchers.

This information comes courtesy of Working Assets Long Distance which alerts its customers with every phone bill to timely information like this. For a reasonable fee, they will even send a telegram to your representative on your behalf. Contact THE VIVA VEGETARIAN SOCIETY: (212) 966-2060 for information on how to sign up for this great, socially conscious long distance phone service. Working Assets will donate $10 to VIVA VEGETARIAN if you switch to them.
Online, you aren’t alone: Vegetarian mailing lists

BY DAVE HORN

If you’ve ever wished you could instantaneously send a letter to hundreds of people and then read what they have to say about your thoughts, then Internet mailing lists are for you. Several large mailing lists for vegetarians are buzzing in cyberspace right now. Here are three of my favorites:

■ VEGAN-L is a worldwide list for vegans and aspiring vegans; it has about 320 subscribers right now. I get about 20 messages per day from people on it. To subscribe, send e-mail to listserv@vm.temple.edu; write “subscribe” in the subject line and write the following command in the body of your e-mail message (substituting, of course, your own name for “Jane Doe”):

```
subscribe vegan-l Jane Doe
```

■ Veg-NYC is for vegetarians in the New York area. Recent topics have included upcoming good NYC restaurants and local vegetarian events. To subscribe, send e-mail to waste@waste.org; write “subscribe” in the subject line and write the following command in the body of your e-mail message (substituting your own e-mail address for “jane Doe@aol.com”):

```
subscribe veg-nyc janeDoe@aol.com
```

■ Veggie single is a worldwide list that focuses on issues of being a single vegetarian. I get five to 10 messages a day from it. Recent topics have included the challenge of dating omnivores, how to get good vegetarian food from non-vegetarian restaurants and how to cook vegetarian food on the cheap. To subscribe, send e-mail to veg-single@empire.net and write “subscribe” in the subject line and write the following command in the body of your e-mail message (substituting your own e-mail address for “jane Doe@aol.com”):

```
subscribe veggie single Jane Doe@aol.com
```

Nutrition Insurance

The staple of all vegetarian publications, Vegetarian Times, gave us a food list of “blue chip” staples in June, which it described as recommended eats in any smart vegetarian’s diet. VT’s panel of experts came up with thirteen foods which “no one should overlook.”

The thorough thirteen were:

- GARLIC
- BROCCOLI
- DRIED BEANS AND PEAS
- WHOLE WHEAT BREAD
- POTATOES
- CANTALOUPE
- GRAPEFRUIT
- WHEAT GERM
- BROWN RICE
- TOFU
- AVOCADOS
- SWEET POTATOES
- NUTRITIONAL YEAST

Two from The Vegetarian Resource Group

Plastic for veggies

Sure. Why not? Well, why not carry the no-annual-fee Vegetarian Resource Group MasterCard®. When you use this card from MBNA America® you’ll receive their low introductory 5.9% annual percentage rate, and you’ll support the Vegetarian Resource Group at the same time. Write to the VRG for more information.

Leather or not ...

So, why are you still wearing leather? Especially when there is the “Guide to Non-Leather Shoes and Other Leather Alternatives” from The Vegetarian Resource Group. Send $3 to the VRG with your request.

World-class Web sites

■ The Vegetarian Pages (http://www.veg.org/veg/) is a comprehensive site featuring vegetarian people, groups, products, restaurants and recipes from around the world.

■ The World Guide to Vegetarianism Internet Index (http://www.veg.org/veg/Guide/Internet/index.html) lists all the Internet resources in this article, and many more.

■ ADVANCE (http://www.earthbase.org/advance/) lists vegetarian events in the United States.

■ Veggies Unite! (http://www.vegweb.com/) has great recipes, book reviews and events lists.

■ The VivaVegie home page (http://www.earthbase.org/vivavegie/) has back issues of the Viva Vine.

For a complete guide to vegetarian mailing lists, visit the World Guide to Vegetarianism’s Internet Mailing Lists page (http://www.veg.org/veg/Guide/Internet/Mailing_Lists.html).

If I don’t get into The VivaVegie web site, I surely shall die
September, September 7:
DIET FOR A NEW AMERICA author John Robbins speaks in Long Island, NY. Sponsored by EarthSave Long Island. For more info, call 516/421-3791.

Friday, September 20:
Former cattle rancher turned vegetarian Howard Lyman speaks on mad cow disease, factory farming and sustainable agriculture at SUNY Purchase (NY). (Howard was recently elected the President of the International Vegetarian Union.) Sponsored by EarthSave Hudson Valley. For more info, call 914/472-7392.

October

Sunday, October 1:
Vegetarian Awareness Month kicks off with WORLD VEGETARIAN DAY. It’s not too late to plan a simple observance in your town. For more info, send an SASE to the North American Vegetarian Society, P.O. Box 72, Dolgeville, NY 13329.

Wednesday, October 2:
14th annual observance of WORLD FARM ANIMALS DAY, in conjunction with Gandhi’s birthday. For help in planning a local event, call Scott Williams at 301/530-1737. Sponsored by Farm Animal Reform Movement.

September 28-October 6:
WALK-A-THONS in many cities to benefit Farm Sanctuary’s shelter projects. For more info and walkers’ packets, call 607/583-2225 or 916/865-4617.

VivaVeggie Activist Actions

To join any of the following VivaVeggie street actions, call 212/966-2060

Saturday, September 21
STREET FAIR IN GREENWICH VILLAGE. From Noon to 5 p.m. Here’s a place veg-evangelists feel right at home.

Wednesday, October 16
WORLD DAY OF ACTION AGAINST MACDONALD’S. Target the McDonald’s across the street from the Empire State Building. Their statue of Ronald is great for photo ops. We’ll also get the benefit of the huge Fifth Avenue crowds.

Thursday, October 31
GREENWICH VILLAGE HALLOWEEN PARADE. Join VivaVeggie in this incredibly effective venue to spread the veg word: slogan? “Respect the Dead. Don’t eat them.”

Big Apple Vegetarians

Social events for big city veggies
Join Big Apple coordinator Jean Thaler for restaurant of the month club; for yoga nights; for veg-extravaganzas of every sort. Watch for a Thanksgiving talent show this year. Call 718-438-1523 for more information.